

MS#

ACTA BIOLOGICA CRACOVIENSIA SERIES BOTANICA

The Official Publication of the Biological Commission of the Polish Academy of Sciences
Cracow Branch

Title:

Author/Authors:.

Indicate your answer with an "✓".

1. Is the topic:

- suitable for the journal?
- of broad international interest?
- better suited for local journal/audience?

2. Is the problem properly stated?

- yes
- no

3. Is the problem adequately treated or solved?

- yes
- changes or additions required
- no

4. Documentation:

a) *Tables*:

- good
- unclear (no.)
- unnecessary (no.)
- incorrect (no.)

b) *Graphs*:

- good
- unclear (no.)
- unnecessary (no.)
- incorrect (no.)

c) *Other illustrations*:

- good
- change needed (no.)
- unnecessary (no.)
- poor quality (no.)

d) *Statistics*:

- suitable
- unsuitable (remarks)

5. Interpretation of results:

- correct or appropriate
- should be amended
- unfounded

6. Literature cited:

- appropriate
- too broad
- incomplete (remarks)

7. Language and style:

- well written
- should be made more concise
- should be rewritten or edited

8. Article title:

- appropriate
- too long
- too general

9. Abstract:

- appropriate
- too long
- too general
- does not reflect the paper's content

10. Key words:

- appropriate
- inappropriate

11. General opinion of the paper:

- provides new findings
- provides new findings but is of marginal interest
- provides needed confirmation of previous findings
- repeats already known work

12. Recommendations:

- a) should be published:
 - without changes
 - after changes
- b) should be resubmitted for a decision after rewriting or editing
- c) should not be published

13. Detailed remarks (please attach pages if necessary):

Date:

✂

Name of referee:

Address:

Tel./Fax:

e-mail: