

# POLLEN MORPHOLOGY OF SOME CENTAUREA L., PSEPHELLUS CASS. AND CYANUS MILLER TAXA

HÜLYA ÖZLER<sup>1\*</sup>, ZAFER KAYA<sup>2</sup>, AND SEVIL PEHLIVAN<sup>3</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Forest Tree Seeds and Tree Breeding Research Directorate, P.O. Box 11, 06560 Gazi, Ankara, Turkey
<sup>2</sup>Bartin University, 74100 Bartýn, Turkey
<sup>3</sup>Gazi University, 06500 Teknikokullar, Ankara, Turkey

Received March 9, 2009; revision accepted September 28, 2009

The pollen morphology of 29 taxa, 24 of which are endemic to Turkey, was investigated in detail by light and scanning electron microscopy. LM examination showed pollen grains to be tricolporate, rarely tetracolporate, isopolar, radially symmetrical, subprolate, spheroidal-subprolate, operculate, tectum perforate, scabrate and microechinate. *P. pecho* Albow. and *P. appendicigera* C. Koch resemble the *Montana* type, *C. pichleri* subsp. *pichleri* Boiss. and *C. pichleri* subsp. *extrarosularis* (Hayek & Siehe) Wagenitz resemble the *Cyanus* type, and *C. pseudoscabiosa* subsp. *pseudoscabiosa* Boiss.& Buhse are consistent with the *Dealbata* type, *C. pestalozzae* Boiss. and *C. carduiformis* subsp. *carduiformis* DC. with the *Scabiosa* type, and the other taxa with Wagenitz's Jacea type.

Key words: Centaurea, Cyanus, Psephellus, pollen morphology, LM, SEM.

## INTRODUCTION

The genus Centaurea L. is one of the largest genera in Asteraceae. The number of species included in this genus ranges between 200 and 700 species depending on the classification (Bremer, 1994; Helwig 2004; Bancheva and Greilhuber, 2006). Turkey is one of the important centers of diversity for the genus Centaurea (Wagenitz, 1986); 172 species and 6 imperfectly known species of *Centaurea* were recognized for *Flora* of *Turkey* by Wagenitz (1975). Since then, 27 new species have been described (Davis et al., 1988; Agababian, 1997; Güner et al., 2000; Duran and Duman, 2002; Greuter, 2003a,b; Türkoglu et al., 2003; Aytaç and Duman, 2005; Uzunhisarcikli et al., 2005; Vural et al., 2006; Wagenitz et al., 2006; Eren, 2007; Kaya and Vural, 2007; Uysal et al., 2007; Uzunhisarcikli et al., 2007; Aksoy et al., 2008; Uysal et al., 2008; Armagan and Ünal, 2009; Hamzaoglu and Budak, 2009; Uysal and Köse, 2009). In addition, Centaurea wagenitziana Bancheva & Kit Tan, Centaurea cassia subsp. dumanii M.Dinç, A. Duran & B. Bilgili and *Centaurea kaynakiae* Daskin & Yilmaz have been described (Tan et al., 2009; Dinç et al. 2009; Daskin and Yilmaz, 2009).

As a consequence of the unnaturalness of *Centaurea* s.l., recent approaches have split this taxon into several more natural genera: *Centaurea* s.str., *Cyanus* Miller, *Psephellus* Cassini and *Rhaponticoides* Vaillant (Greuter, 2003a,b; Hellwig 2004). A new species of *Cyanus*, *C. eflanensis* Kaya & Bancheva, is described from Karabük, Turkey (Kaya and Bancheva, 2009).

The genus *Centaurea* presents taxonomic and nomenclatural problems. Palynological studies together with recent molecular approaches and karyological studies are very helpful in solving these problems. Garcia-Jacas et al. (2001) proposed *Centaurea* sections with *Dealbata* pollen classified as *Psephellus*, in addition to morphology and pollen type evolution, ITS and *matK* chloroplast gene phylogenies and karyological data. In other work by Garcia-Jacas et al. (2000, 2006), *Centaurea jacea* group was analyzed by ITS sequence, supported by karyological evidence. The results showed good correlation with the biogeographic distribution of the species.

Pollen grains of some Turkish *Centaurea* taxa have been studied by LM (Wagenitz, 1955; Avetisjan,

<sup>\*</sup>e-mail: hulyaozler@hotmail.com

1964; Inceoglu and Karamustafaoglu, 1976; Kaya 1985, 1986), LM, SEM and TEM (Pinar and Inceoglu, 1996; Pehlivan 1994, 1995a,b; Celik et al., 2005). Wagenitz (1955) divided the genus Centaurea into eight groups on the basis of exine structure and sculpturing: Serratula, Centaurium, Scabiosa, Crupina, Jacea, Dealbata, Montana and Cyanus. Avetisian (1964) defined five pollen types as Jacea, Centaurium, Scabiosa, Serratula and Psephellus. Pehlivan (1994, 1995a,b) studied endemic Turkish Centaurea taxa in detail. Her findings are consistent with Wagenitz's Jacea and Cyanus types. Pinar and Inceoglu (1996) proposed a palynological solution for the taxonomical problems of C. triumfettii All., groups A, B and C. They observed that Group C is distinguished from groups A and B on the basis of pollen shape, size and costae and shows characteristics consistent with Wagenitz's Montana type. Villodre and Garcia-Jacas (2000) stated that pollen type is one of the most reliable characteristics for establishing phylogeny in this group.

This paper gives detailed information on the pollen morphology of Turkish *Centaurea*, *Psephellus* and *Cyanus* taxa in order to contribute to their taxonomy.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant samples were collected from different regions of Turkey during our field investigations. Voucher specimens are listed in Table 1. For morphological analysis, pollen grains were prepared according to Wodehouse (1935) and Erdtman (1960). LM observations of nonacetolyzed pollen grains were made with an Olympus binocular microscope at  $1000 \times$ magnification. Acetolyzed pollen were observed and measured with Kyowa microlux-11 and Leica DM LB2 microscopes. All of the morphological parameters investigated are given in Table 2. Measurements are scored for 50 mature pollen grains per slide (Aytug, 1967). Pollen morphological parameters were measured under a Prior light microscope. Photomicrographs were taken with a Leica DM LB2 photomicroscope at  $1000 \times$  magnification.

For SEM, nonacetolyzed pollen grains were mounted on double-stick tape and pulse sputtercoated for 3.5 min with gold. Pollen grains were examined with a Jeol JSM-6060 LV SEM operated at 15kV. Morphological terminology follows Skvarla and Turner (1966), Skvarla et al. (1977), Walker (1974), Faegri and Iversen (1975).

#### RESULTS

Under LM, pollen grains are isopolar, radially symmetrical, spheroidal, subprolate, subprolate-spher-

oidal and operculate. Their apertures are often tricolporate, rarely tetracolporate. The amb is circular and intersemiangular (Figs. 1-22). LM and SEM shows exine sculpture to be scabrate and microechinate, and the tectum is perforate (Tab. 2, Figs. 23–44). Exine sculpture is scabrate when the sculpturing elements are less than 1 µm, and microechinate when 1–2  $\mu$ m (Wagenitz, 1955). The colpus membrane is granulate, granulate-perforate-reticulate and granulate-perforate. The pollen grains of С. pseudoscabiosa subsp. pseudoscabiosa, P. pecho, P. appendicigera, C. pichleri subsp. pichleri and C. pichleri subsp. extrarosularis have columellae with two layers and exine acaveate (Figs. 13–22, 49). The remaining 25 taxa have columellae with one layer and well developed cavea (e.g., Figs. 1-12, 45-48). The internal columella at the mesocolpia is scored as 3.33 µm for P. pecho, 4.94 µm for C. pichleri subsp. pichleri, 4.65 µm for C. pichleri subsp. extrarosularis, 3.65 µm for C. pseudoscabiosa subsp. pseudoscabiosa and 2.65 µm for P. appendicigera. External columella values vary from 1.38 to 3.34 µm. The external columella is thickest for C. antiochia var. antiochia (3.34 µm) and thinnest for C. solstitialis subsp. pyracantha (1.38 µm). The polar axis (P) ranges from  $27.03\pm1.53$  to  $52.65\pm2.20$  µm (non-acetolyzed pollen, W) and 29.26±1.33 to 68.30±2.23 µm (acetolyzed, E); the equatorial axis ranges from  $26.52 \pm 1.44$  to  $43.09 \pm 2.61$  (W) and  $26.75 \pm 0.95$  to  $51.22\pm2.1$  µm (E). The polar axis is longest in C. antiochia var. antiochia (68.30 µm) and shortest in *C. cariensis* subsp. *longipapposa* (29.26 µm); the equatorial axis is longest in *C. carduiformis* subsp. carduiformis (51.22 µm) and shortest in C. cariensis subsp. longipapposa (26.75 µm). In all taxa examined, the width of the porus (plt) [3.52-14.26  $\mu$ m (W); 5.46–16.64  $\mu$ m (E)] is bigger than the width of the colpus (clt)  $[1.79-10.83 \ \mu m$  (W); 4.61-11.04 µm (E)].

Exine was thickest in C. pichleri subsp. extrarosularis and thinnest in C. paphlagonica (Tab. 2). Ektexine/endexine values were calculated at 4/1 for P. appendicigera, 3/1 for P. pecho and 2/1 for the other examined species. While ektexine thickness decreases at regions close to apertures, endexine becomes thicker. Ektexine at the mezocolpia was thickest (7.16 µm) for C. pichleri subsp. pichleri and thinnest (2.27 µm) for C. zeybekii. Ektexine at the aperture was thickest for C. carduiformis subsp. carduiformis (2.91 µm) and thinnest for C. zeybekii (0.81 µm). In SEM, the number of microspines counted per 5 mm<sup>2</sup> was highest for *C. cariensis* subps. *longipapposa*, and lowest for C. babylonica, C. paphlagonica, C. helenioides, C. polyclada, C. saligna, C. pichleri subsp. extrarosularis, C. antiochia var. antiochia and C. stenolepis. The number of

TABLE 1. List of taxa exami	ed, localities and collectors
-----------------------------	-------------------------------

Taxa	Date	Collector and herbarium number	Locality
C. zeybekii Wagenitz	08.06.1999	Z.Kaya Y.Genc 681	B1 Izmir: Nif Mt., Ovacik village, 300-450 m., BOF
C. polyclada DC.	07.07.2000	Z.Kaya M.Cetin 482	B1 Izmir: Yamanlar, above Yamanlar, Caparli, roadside, 700 m., BOF
C. cariensis subsp. longipapposa Wagenitz.	28.08.1999	Z.Kaya 605	B/C 3 Isparta: Dede Mt. slope, 1400 m, BOF
C. cariensis subsp. maculiceps (O. Schwarz) Wagenitz	24.05.2001	Z.Kaya M.Agir 1504	C1 Aydın: Buharkent, near geothermal facilities, 200-250 m., BOF
C. consanguinea DC.	27.06.1989	Z. Kaya 312	B7 Elazığ, Karakoçan, 10 km. to Karakoçan, 1200 m
<i>C. aggregata</i> subsp. <i>aggregata</i> Fish.& Mey ex DC.	14.07.1982	Z.Kaya 1170	B7 Elazığ: Kozgediği, 1400 m, BOF
C. cadmea Boiss.	15.07.1999	Z.Kaya 1315	A4 Bartin: Ulus, Ulukaya waterfall at rocky place, 600 m, BOF
C. luschaniana Heimerl	25.05.1999	Z.Kaya 613	C3 Antalya: Termassa Eren Tesis, 800 m, BOF
C. tossiensis Freyn & Sint.	22.09.2000	Z.Kaya 798	A4 Kastamonu: Daday, Agli, Cayozu, open place, 1100 m, BOF
C. stenolepis Kerner	16.08.1999	Z.Kaya 785	A3 Bolu, Mengen, Arak village, open field, 900 m, BOF
C. odyssei Wagenitz	29.08.1994	Z.Kaya F.Hellwig 1741	B1 Balıkesir: Edremit, Baba Mt., Avcılar village, 1450 m, BOF
<i>C. drabifolia</i> subsp. <i>cappadoccica</i> (DC.)Wagenitz	08.07.1990	Z.Kaya 1375	C3 Antalya: Akseki, Yıldızlıain, 1800-2400 m, BOF
<i>C. drabifolia</i> subsp. <i>detonsa</i> (Bornm.) Wagenitz	28.08.1999	Z.Kaya 1218	B/C3 Isparta: Dede Mt. slopes, 1600 m, BOF
C. saligna (C.Koch) Wagenitz	24.06.2004	Z.Kaya 703	B7 Elazığ: Alacakaya, 1400-1500 m, BOF
C. helenioides Boiss.	26.08.1992	Z.Kaya 1126	A8 Rize: İkizdere, Anzer, above rocky place, 2200m, BOF
C. paphlagonica (Bornm.) Wagenitz	20.08.1994	Z.Kaya 372	A4 Ankara: Kızılcahamam, Işık Mt., Yukarı cami village, 1400 m, BOF
C. amanicola Hub-Mor.	10.08.1994	R.Binzet 1860	C6 Adana: Osmaniye-Yarpuz road, 1000 m, BOF
C. babylonica (L.) L.	27.06.1991	Z.Kaya F.Hellwig 1275	C5 Hatay: Erzin, Başlamış village, roadside, 600 m, BOF
C. ptosimopappa Hayek	23.06.1999	Z.Kaya F.Hellwig 642	C5 Hatay: Erzin, Başlamış village, 800 m, BOF
C. solstitialis subsp. pyracantha(Bornm.) Wagenitz	19.07.1996	Z.Kaya B.Yaman 723	C3 Antalya: Serik-Antalya road, 20 m, BOF
<i>C. calcitrapa</i> subsp. <i>cilicica</i> (Boiss & Bal) Wagenitz	6.07.1999	Z.Kaya R.Binzet 980	C5 Mersin: Gozne-Sogucak road, 900 m, BOF
C. pestalozzae Boiss.	18.07.1996	Z.Kaya B.Yaman 514	C3 Antalya: Tahtalı Mt., 2100-2200 m, BOF
C. antiochia var. antiochia Boiss.	05.07.2003	M.Bona 1650	C5 Hatay: Habip Neccar Mt., BOF
C. carduiformis subsp. carduiformis DC.	06.07.2003	Z.Kaya 760	A4 Ankara: Eymir Lake, 500 m, BOF
C. pseudoscabiosa subsp. pseudoscabiosa Boiss.& Buhse	08.10.2005	K.Kilic 1373	A9 Ardahan, Çıldır Lake 1600 m, BOF
P. pecho Albow.	18.05.2002	Z.Kaya Y.Genc 1867	A8 Artvin: Fistikli village, entrance of Hatilla National Park, 510 m, BOF
P. appendicigera C.Koch	25.08.1994	Z.Kaya F.Hellwig 1114	A8 Rize: İkizdere, Anzer village, Alcagaz locality, 2750 m, BOF
<i>C. pichleri</i> subsp. <i>extrarosularis</i> (Hayek &Siehe) Wagenitz	27.07.2005	A.Cansaran 913	A5 Amasya: Ak Mt., 2050 m, BOF
C. pichleri subsp. pichleri Boiss.	10.06.1999	Z.Kaya 918	A3 Bolu, Mengen, Arak village, open field, 900 m, BOF

BOF – herbarium sheet from the Faculty of Forestry of Bartin University

TABLE 2. Pollen morphological parameters of taxa examined

Таха	Р	E	P/E	L	clg	clt
Section Acrolophus						
C. zeybekii (W)	29.62±1,96	28.41±1.02	1.04	27.01±1.14	25.68±1.14	7.14±1.21
C. zeybekii (E)	31.40±3.03	29.21±1.67	1.07	28.90±1.84	27.79±2.97	6.31±1.11
C. polyclada (W)	28.05±1.15	28.21±0,41	0.99	25.64±1,3	24.93±1.61	5.63±1.21
C. polyclada (E)	34.97±1.55	30.86±1.46	1.13	31.85±1.30	30.60±1.65	7.21±0.89
C. cariensis subsp. longipapposa (W)	30.76±1,63	30.63±1,45	1.00	28.13±1.37	25.34±1.13	7.64±0.93
C. cariensis subsp. longipapposa (E)	29.26±1.33	26.75±0.95	1.09	27.23±1.20	26.69±1.41	4.61±0.79
C. cariensis subsp. maculiceps (W)	28.41±1.65	29.25±1.68	0.97	26.75±1.63	23.52±1.58	5.98±1.10
C. cariensis subsp. maculiceps (E)	37.40±2.43	30.47±1.63	1.22	31.57±5.14	31.71±2.32	6.16±1.50
C. consaguinea (W)	28.53±1.34	27.43±1.67	1.04	26.07±1.36	23.94±1.96	9.18±1.28
C. consaguinea (E)	33.90±2.75	32.19±1.56	1.05	30.92±1.87	27.15±3.16	7.79±1.40
C. aggregata subsp. aggregata (W)	27.27±1.29	26.25±1.34	1.03	26.04±0.28	20.56±0.88	6.10±0.67
C. aggregata subsp. aggregata (E)	35.57±2.24	31.08±1.98	1.14	32.33±1.30	30.38±1.95	8.00±1.02
Section Phalolepis						
C. cadmea (W)	30.14±1.33	29.09±1.25	1.06	27.56±1.03	23.76±1.02	7.03±0.24
C. cadmea (E)	33.84±3.62	30.29±2.25	1.17	30.37±3.04	29.76±3.42	7.52±1.19
C. luschaniana (W)	32.85±2.25	31.18±2.37	1.05	29.18±0.81	25.83±1.13	7.45±1.21
C. luschaniana (E)	38.26±2.09	36.20±1.84	1.05	35.78±1.70	33.94±1.67	10.11±1.05
C. tossiensis (W)	27.03±1.53	26.52±1.44	1.01	24.42±1.72	21.08±1.46	9.07±1.21
C. tossiensis (E)	43.06±2.28	40.67±2.68	1.05	38.12±1.53	35.71±1.62	6.12±1.19
Section Jacea						
C. stenolepis(W)	29.19±2.44	30.74±1.68	0.95	29.33±1.14	25.16±3.59	9.91±1.30
C. stenolepis(E)	42.62±2.18	39.95±1.89	1.07	40.09±1.65	34.59±2.45	10.04±1.48
Section Pterocantha						
C. odyssei (W)	30.49±1.58	30.54±1.33	0.99	28.92±0.82	25.85±1.08	8.95±1.84
C. odyssei (E)	46.51±3.03	38.60±1.97	1.2	40.93±2.68	36.76±2.13	8.56±1.14
Section Cheirolepis						
C. drabifolia subsp. capadoccica (W)	31.74±2.46	32.29±1.89	0.98	30.33±1.51	27.16±1.02	6.02±0.89
C. drabifolia subsp. capadoccica (E)	44.60±2.11	37.49 ±1.81	1.18	39.88±2.09	37.49±2.81	7.15±1.29
C. drabifolia subsp. detonsa (W)	34.75±1.43	34.11±1.47	1.02	32.43±1.11	27.43±0.91	8.77±1.11
C. drabifolia subsp. detonsa (E)	45.59±2.46	37.39±1.29	0.03	42.10±2.50	40.06±3.01	7.70±1.08
C. saligna (W)	41.06±1.83	40.45±1.88	1.01	39.18±1.23	38.17±0.98	1.79±0.50
C. saligna (E)	48.11±2.96	43.67±2.76	1.10	44.37±3.27	40.02±2.39	9.93±1.24
Section Grossheimia						
C. helenioides (W)	40.97±2.25	41.06±3.03	0.99	36.79±2.03	33.92±2.48	10.63±1.67
C. helenioides (E)	51.79±2.40	41.64±2.40	1.24	44.41±3.27	41.72±2.15	7.97±1.77
Section Plumosipappus						
C. paphlagonica(W)	37.28±2.62	37.48±1.47	0.99	38.76±1.54	31.82±1.80	8.38±0.59
C. paphlagonica(E)	53.55±3.03	39.27±2.68	1.36	41.38±4.88	44.07±3.12	6.40±1.03
Section Cynaroides						
C. amanicola (W)	35.42±1.57	31.75±2.43	1.12	29.58±1.11	27.23±0.96	3.52±0.47
C. amanicola (E)	41.08±2.81	38.33±1.94	1.07	38.13±3.29	34.21±2.56	6.89±1.03
Section Microlophus						
C. babylonica (W)	34.59±1.73	31.48±1.82	1.10	30.13±1.25	29.10±1.23	6.03±0.15
C. babylonica (E)	43.90±2.37	35.74±2.27	1.23	38.77±2.20	37.94±2.66	7.27±1.20
Section Ptosimopappus						
C. ptosimopappa (W)	30.04±2.11	29.68±1.97	1.01	28.13±1.14	23.79±1.56	6.27±0.93
C. ptosimopappa (E)	43.02±2.55	36.96±1.44	1.16	38.31±1.23	33.45±3.11	6.77±0.96

plg	plt	plg/ plt	pltclt	t	Exine	Intin	costae	dh	dt	Orn.
8.16±1.41 5.74± 0.98	8.57±1.12 7.50±1.66	0.95 0.76	plt>clt	6.76±1.18 6.67±1.03	1.47±0.46 2.80±0.25	0.5±0.11 Absent	not-meas 2.23±0.45	not-meas 0.83±0.16	not-meas 1.69±0.27	S
7.08±0.6 7.52±1.00	7.81±0.41 8.86±1.35	0.90 0.84	plt>clt	6.17±2.12 7.75±1.28	1.06±0.2 2.81±0.35	0.82±0.04 Absent	not-meas 1.86±0.16	not-meas 0.94±0.10	not-meas 2.02±0.40	s
7.61±0.87 4.50± 0.89	8.35±0.93 5.46±1.21	0.91 0.82	plt>clt	9.38±0,64 5.73±0.79	2.42±0.42 2.83±0.42	0.52±0.07 Absent	not-meas 2.34±0.53	not-meas 0.60±0.19	not-meas 1.52±0.34	s
5.57±1.23 6.35±1.00	6.22±1.17 6.75±1.91	0.89 0.94	plt>clt	6.14±0.78 6.46±0.95	2.80±0.63 2.88±0.48	0.63±0.11 Absent	not-meas 2.11±0.38	not-meas 0.55±0.18	not-meas 1.22±0.38	s
10.09±1.59 10.40± 2.37	9.18±1.28 11.70±1.70	1.10 0.89	plt>clt	6.80±0.54 6.97±1.30	1.80±0.10 3.75±0.56	0.54±0.11 Absent	not-meas 2.88±0.44	<1µm	- 1	s
5.71±0.97 8.38 ±1.23	5.88±0.96 11.18±1.59	0.97 0.75	plt>clt	7.10±0.58 7.33±1.19	2.45±0.63 3.33±0.34	0.88±0.66 Absent	not-meas. 2.39±0.47	<1µm	E)	s
6.60±1.25	7.73±1.24 8.76±1.91	0.85	plt>clt	7.42±0.41	2.45±0.34	0.74±0.15 Absent	not-meas 2.24±0.45	not-meas 0.70±0.20	not-meas 1.39±0.45	s
7.70±1.14 10.63±1.18	7.45±1.04 12.07±1.10	1.03	plt>clt	5.99±0.61 7.18±1.03	3.67±0.63 2.98±0.26	0.80-0.09 Absent	not-meas. 2.44±0.49	<1µm	-	S
9.18±1.44 5.17±1.11	9.24±1.27 7.14±1.35	0.99 0.72	plt>clt	8.22±1.01 6.32±1.28	1.76±0.47 3.18±0.46	0.44±0.06 Absent	not-meas 2.24±0.51	not-meas 0.91±0.25	not-meas 2.04±0.35	s
7.53±0.99 8.67± 1.15	8.07±1.28 12.61±1.36	0.86 0.69	plt>clt	7.35±0.78 8.99±1.47	2.07±0.35 3.59±0.46	0.5±0.11 Absent	not-meas. 2.99±0.51	1.10±0.09 1.76±0.35	1.30±0.09 4.05±0.74	М
9.02±2.67 8.86 ±1.14	8.95±1.84 12.55±1.63	1.01 0.71	plt>clt	9.02±1.08 8.69±1.44	1.92±0.07 3.77±0.40	0.52±0.07 Absent	not-meas 2.81±0.39	0.94±0.09 not-meas	1.39±0.10 not-meas	s
6.45±1.23 7.45 ±1.59	6.69±1.12 6.91±1.59	0.96 1.07	plt>clt	7.69±0.78 9.69±1.20	1.65±0.37 2.91±0.26	0.74±0.11 Absent	not-meas. 2.72±0.51	0.52±0.18 0.93±0.14	1.42±0.77 2.14±0.43	s
6.12±0.85 8.03 ±1.34	7.08±0.99 9.10±1.52	0.86 0.88	plt>clt	7.85±0.71 7.39±1.40	2.34±0.31 2.97±0.29	0.88±0.08 Absent	not-meas. 2.20±0.50	0.61±0.18 0.97±0.18	1.32±0.31 1.85±0.28	s
10.74±1.20 9.60± 1.25	9.27±1.20 13.42±1.60	1.15 0.72	plt>clt	9.52±1.15 10.34±1.81	1.18±0.13 3.78±0.22	1.06±0.14 Absent	not-meas. 2.86±0.41	0.65±0.18 not-meas	1.12±0.11 not-meas	s
11.16±2.09 8.07±1.54	11.78±1.67 8.40±1.91	0.94 0.96	plt>clt	10.81±1.30 7.85±1.50	2.75±0.64 3.13±0.48	0.74±0.14 Absent	not-meas 2.69±0.63	0.92±0.15 1.64±0.38	1.38±0.11 3.36±0.78	М
8.29±1.33 9.53 ±1.16	8.38±0.59 9.60±1.68	0.99 0.99	plt>clt	7.42±0.53 2.53±0.65	1.89±0.39 2.48±0.47	0.51±0.09 Absent	not-meas Absent	0.91±0.33 1.25±0.37	2.06±0.53 2.56±0.65	М
3.21±0.48 7.68± 0.83	3.52±0.47 9.89±1.13	0.91 0.78	plt>clt	5.97±0.48 7.94±1.22	2.52±0.41 4.55±0.52	0.92±0.09 Absent	not-meas. 4.02±0.63	0.67±0.02 0.95±0.13	1.53±0.10 2.04±0.37	s
6.15±0.12 7.63±1.22	8.15±0.63 9.28±1.74	0.75 0.82	plt>clt	6.23±1.11 7.85±1.14	2.14±0.31 3.26±0.50	0.41±0.23 Absent	not-meas. 3.18±0.50	1.00±0.13 1.09±0.22	1.96±0.50 2.26±0.56	М
5.66±0.89 7.30 ±0.84	6.27±0.93 8.92±1.38	0.90 0.82	plt>clt	7.65±1.06 7.37±1.16	2.90±0.53 3.88±0.50	0.80±0.16 Absent	not-meas. 2.78±0.48	1.16±0.18 1.86±0.21	1.91±0.58 3.99±0.52	М

#### TABLE 2. continued

Taxa	Р	Е	P/E	L	clg	clt
Section Mesocentron						
C. solstitialis subsp. pyracantha (W)	30.62±1.30	26.14±1.32	1.17	25.12±1.11	24.01±1.02	7.21±0.52
C. solstitialis subsp. pyracantha (E)	40.06±2.56	32.69±2.09	1.23	35.23±2.48	35.84±2.66	6.79 ±1.60
Section Calcitrapa						
C. calcitrapa subsp. cilicica (W)	27.81±1.08	27.70±0.67	1.00	27.04±2.71	22.84±0.63	5.61±1.05
C. calcitrapa subsp. cilicica (E)	38.86±2.44	29.90±1.57	1.29	34.77±2.06	30.57±3.13	5.98±1.30
Section Acrocentron						
C. pestalozzae (W)	47.73±3.72	37.57±2.22	1.27	35.07±2.49	34.65±1.23	8.47±1.13
C. pestalozzae (E)	59.86±5.01	44.73±3.27	1.34	46.08±3.49	44.94±5.37	7.52±1.16
C. antiochia (W)	52.65±2.20	41.71±2.60	1.26	39.71±1.07	44.03±1.02	10.83±1.74
C. antiochia (E)	68.30±2.23	49.48±1.72	1.38	55.07±2.05	48.34±3.18	6.82±1.32
C. carduiformis subsp. carduiformis (W)	39.14±2.93	40.98±1.96	0.95	51.41±0.63	30.37±1.72	4.82±1.59
C. carduiformis subsp. carduiformis (E)	67.57 ±2.46	51.22±2.1	1.32	52.30±4.00	46.00±3.05	7.80±1.31
C. psedoscabiosa subsp. pseudoscabiosa (W)	33.15±1.39	34.14±1.20	0.97	32.47±1.40	29.02±2.03	7.79±1.69
C. psedoscabiosa subsp. pseudoscabiosa (E)	39.99±2.02	42.45±1.46	0.94	39.97±1.84	31.12±2.32	9.79±1.58
Phsephellus						
P. pecho (W)	40.60±1.23	36.76±1.16	1.10	34.68±1.17	33.68±1.62	816±1.44
p. pecho (E)	55.80±1.98	43.22±1.51	1.29	48.44±2.07	43.08±2.56	8.84±1.19
P.appendicigera (W)	37.12±1.43	35.34±2.53	1.05	33.04±1.15	26.32±1.84	8.63±1.58
P.appendicigera (E)	45.53±3.05	42.22±2.40	1.07	41.06±2.82	36.55±3.16	11.04±1.34
Cyanus						
C. pichleri subsp. extrarosularis (W)	42.20±1.63	40.16±2.39	1.05	38.53±2.84	35.92±2.21	8.86±1.68
C. pichleri subsp. extrarosularis (E)	65.03±3.95	46.63±2.16	1.39	51.20±2.51	52.06±3.77	10.23±2.19
C. pichleri subsp. pichleri (W)	44.54±1.78	40.52±1.76	1.10	39.71±1.12	35.01±2.21	8.12±2.12
C. pichleri subsp. pichleri (E)	65.96±2.86	48.78±2.46	1.35	55.53±2.87	51.22±3.16	10.52±2.22

perforations was highest for *C. pichleri* subsp. *extrarosularis*, *C. cariensis* subps. *longipapposa*, *C. odyysei* and *C. pestalozzae*. The distance between spines ranged from 0.28  $\mu$ m (*C. cariensis* subsp. *longipapposa*) to 2.02  $\mu$ m (*C. paphlagonica*). Operculum diameter ranged from 4.49  $\mu$ m (*C. odyysei*) to 10.61  $\mu$ m (*C. pichleri* subsp. *extrarosularis*).

### DISCUSSION

In recent taxonomic revisions of the genus Centaurea (Wagenitz and Hellwig, 2000; Greuter, 2003a,b; Hellwig, 2004), several of our investigated species were excluded from this genus and shifted to the genus Psephellus (C. appendicigera, C. pecho) and to the genus Cyanus, [C. pichleri subsp. pichleri Boiss., C. pichleri subsp. extrarosularis (Hayek and Siehe) Wagenitz]. In Turkey, the first detailed morphological analyses of pollen grains in Centaurea were made by Wagenitz (1955) and Avetisian (1964) in an attempt to solve phylogenetic problems in this genus. Wagenitz (1955) typified Centaurea pollen grains by morphological features. He used the following features to group acetolyzed pollen: pollen shape, exine ornamentation, internal and external layers of columellae, length of colpus, pore shape and costae. *Serratula*, *Crupina* and *Centaurium* pollen types were recognized as the most primitive, *Dealbata*, *Cyanus* and *Montana* as intermediate, and *Scabiosa* and *Jacea* as the most advanced types.

Some authors have asserted that the presence of single or double layers of columellae of the pollen wall can be used as principal criteria in taxonomic arguments (Wagenitz, 1955; Skvarla et al., 1977). Here we used some additional features such as apocolpium and intine thickness. Pollen grains of 22 taxa analyzed in this study represent Wagenitz's *Jacea* pollen type based on the following characters: spheroidal-subprolate, costae, scabrate and microechinate ornamentation, long colpus, and the presence of cavea, (Tab. 2, Figs. 1–8,23–28,45–48).

Pehlivan (1996) and Çelik et al. (2005) placed pollen grains of *C. consanguinea* in the *Jacea* type. In recent taxonomy, *C. pecho* and *C. appendicigera* have been transferred to genus *Psephellus* (Wagenitz and Hellwig, 2000; Greuter, 2003a,b; Hellwig, 2004). Our results show that the pollen grains of the two taxa resemble the *Montana* type in such characters as long colpus and thick costae, subprolate-prolate shape, and being acaveate (Figs. 15–18, 37–40, 49), rather than the *Psephellus* pollen type. Pollen grains of *C. pseudoscabiosa* subsp. *pseudoscabiosa* (Sect.

plg	plt	plg/ plt	pltclt	t	Exine	Intin	costae	dh	dt	Orn.
5.98±1.02	7.21±0.52	0.82	plt>clt	6.32±0.48	0.90±0.12	0.69±0.10	not-meas.	0.63±0.22	1.02±0.23	S
7.35±1.13	8.93±1.40	0.82		7.44±1.06	2.95±0.35	Absent	2.55±0.54	0.95±0.13	2.04±0.37	
4.79±1.04	7.61±1.05	0.61	plt>clt	7.34±0.72	2.19±0.27	0.41±0.17	not-meas	not-meas	not-meas	s
0.1910.77	0.2011.00	1.22		3.70±0.98	2.02±0.43	Absent	2.12±0.50	0.8910.12	1.0910.00	
8.16±1.15 9.41±1.48	8.47±1.65 11.19±1.59	0.96 0.84	plt>clt	not-meas.	2.70±0.95 4.10±0.81	0.82±0.31 Absent	not-meas. 3.32±0.61	<1µm	-	s
9.69±1.79 11.35±1.74	9.10±1.90 8.14±1.58	1.06 1.39	plt>clt	not-meas.	3.06±1.02 4.27±0.57	Absent	not-meas 3.68±0.73	<1µm	<del>aa</del> si	S
5.79±1.88 10.49±1.90	5.11±1.72 8.06±1.78	1.16 1.30	plt>clt	7.58±1.06 12.60± 2.52	3.11±0.66 4.85±0.57	0.55±0.06 Absent	not-meas. 3.87±0.57	not-meas 0.87±1.78	not-meas 1.67±0.24	S
11.52±1.96 13.32±1.90	12.21±1.79 14.25±1.89	0.94 0.93	plt>clt	6.13±1.24 8.41±1.60	2.03±0.42 4.08±0.52	not-meas Absent	not-meas. 2.59±0.46	<1µm	50	s
6.12±1.14 10.10±1.11	11.22±1.64 13.07±1.61	0.54 0.77	plt>clt	11.22±1.92 11.37±1.91	2.55±0.59 4.28±0.49	0.80±0.12 Absent	not-meas 2.42±0.65	<1µm	-	S
8.03±1.32 12.72±1.72	10.63±1.58 14.24±2.06	0.75 0.89	plt>clt	8.05±1.43 10.44±1.91	3.86±0.86 5.29±0.49	1.24±0.07 Absent	not-meas 2.76±0.57	not-meas 1.03±0.13	not-meas 1.97±0.51	М
11.68±1.45 13.77±2.56	14.26±2.32 16.64±3.30	0.85 0.83	plt>clt	7.69±1.96 10.20±2.09	3.57±0.23 5.87±0.56	0.91±0.63 Absent	not-meas. 4.07±0.72	<1µm	=	S
9.23±1.35 11.73±1.68	13.13±1.96 15.43±2.36	0.70 0.76	plt>clt	8.01±0.91 10.28±1.75	4.05±0.44 4.94±0.49	0.87±0.55 Absent	not-meas. 3.67±0.80	<1µm	-	s

W – Non-acetolyzed pollen grains; E – Acetolyzed pollen grains; P – Polar axis; E – Equatorial axis; L – Equatorial contour diameter; clg – Length of colpus; clt – Width of colpus; plg – Length of porus; plt – Width of porus; t – Length of one side of triangular polar area (apocolpium); dh – Length of spine; dt – Width of spine; Orn – Ornamentation (Exine sculpture); S – Scabrate; M – Microechinate.

Acrocentron) should be evaluated as *Dealbata* type (spheroidal pollen shape, short colpus length and small size) (Figs. 13, 14, 35, 36). Although pollen grains of *C. pseudoscabiosa* subsp. *pseudoscabiosa* represent the *Dealbata* pollen type according to Wagenitz's classification, it was not included in genus *Psephellus*. The *Dealbata* pollen type is a diagnostic feature of genus *Centaurea*, which was classified as genus *Psephellus* by Wagenitz and Hellwig (2000) and Garcia-Jacas et al. (2001). Wagenitz and Hellwig (2000) stated that the same pollen type was found in several sections of *Centaurea* centered in eastern Turkey, Caucasia and northwest Iran.

Pollen shape in *C. pichleri* subsp. *extrarosularis* and subsp. *pichleri* is subprolate with a long colpus, strong costae, and acaveate, resembling the *Cyanus* type (Figs. 19–22, 41–44), while pollen of *C. pestalozzae* and *C. carduiformis* subsp. *carduiformis* (Sect. *Acrocentron*) better fits the *Scabiosa* type based on cavea character, subprolate pollen shape, scabrate ornamentation and thick costae (Figs. 9–12, 29, 30, 33, 34). The *Cyanus* and *Montana* pollen types are limited to *Centaurea* sect. *Cyanus*, and the *Scabiosa* type is

characteristic of sect. *Acrocentron* in its broadest sense (Garcia-Jacas et al., 2000). Pehlivan (1994, 1995a,b, 1996) determined that the pollen grains of the studied taxa are consistent with the *Jacea* and *Cyanus* pollen types. Although Pinar and Inceoglu (1996) found some morphological differences (e.g., pollen shape and size, costae features) between group C and groups A and B of *Centaurea triumfettii* All., they evaluated all pollen grains of the investigated taxa as belonging to the *Montana* type of Wagenitz (1955).

Avetisjan (1964) divided the Jacea type into six subgroups based on morphological variations she determined in this pollen type. She also combined the *Dealbata*, *Montana* and *Cyanus* types into one big group, *Psephellus*. In the Jacea pollen type the spines are reduced, are subprolatespheroidal, and there there are caveae between the basal layer and columellae. The *Scabiosa* type, with cavea, is more advanced than *Centaurium* with no cavea. In the *Dealbata* type the pollen grains are small with subprolate-spheroidal shape, while in *Montana* and *Cyanus* the pollen is subprolate-prolate. On the basis of her typification, the pollen of *P. pecho*, *P. appendisigera*,



**Figs. 1–16.** Pollen grains of *Centaurea* taxa. **Figs. 1, 2.** *C. cariensis* subsp. *maculiceps*, polar view (Fig. 1) and equatorial view (Fig. 2). **Figs. 3, 4.** *C. cariensis* subsp. *longipapposa*, polar view (Fig. 3) and equatorial view (Fig. 4). **Figs. 5, 6.** *C. consanguinea*, polar view (Fig. 5) and equatorial view (Fig. 6). **Figs. 7, 8.** *C. drabifolia* subsp. *capadoccica*, polar view (Fig. 9) and equatorial view (Fig. 10). **Figs. 11, 12.** *C. carduiformis* subsp. *carduiformis*, polar view (Fig. 11) and equatorial view (Fig. 12). **Figs. 13, 14.** *C. pseudoscabiosa* subsp. *pseudoscabiosa*, polar view (Fig. 13) and equatorial view (Fig. 14). **Figs. 15, 16.** *P. pecho*, polar view (Fig. 15) and equatorial view (Fig. 16). Bar = 10 µm. Figs. 1, 3–5, 7, 8, 11–13, 16 – acetolyzed pollen grains; Figs. 2, 6, 9, 10, 14, 15 – nonacetolyzed pollen grains.

C. pichleri subsp. pichleri, subsp. extrarosularis, C. pseudoscabiosa subsp. pseudoscabiosa is Psephellus type (Figs. 13–22). Wagenitz (1955, 1976) put forward the idea that reduction of the spine and inner columellae, lengthening of the colpus and thickening of the costae are



**Figs. 17–22.** Pollen grains of *Psephellus* and *Centaurea* taxa. **Figs. 17, 18**. *P. appendicigera*, polar view (Fig. 17) and equatorial view (Fig. 18). **Figs. 19, 20**. *C. pichleri* subsp. *extrarosularis*, polar view (Fig. 19) and equatorial view (Fig. 20). **Figs. 21, 22**. *C. pichleri* subsp. *pichleri*, polar view (Fig. 21) and equatorial view (Fig. 22). Bar =  $10 \mu m$ . Figs. 18–21 – acetolyzed pollen grains; Figs. 17, 22 – nonacetolyzed pollen grains.



Figs. 23–28. Pollen grains of *Centaurea* taxa in SEM. Figs. 23, 24. *C. consanguinea*, equatorial view (Fig. 23) and scabrate exine surface (Fig. 24). Figs. 25, 26. *C. stenolepis*, equatorial view (Fig. 25) and microechinate exine surface (Fig. 26). Figs. 27, 28. *C. paphlagonica*, equatorial view (Fig. 27) and microechinate exine surface (Fig. 28).



Figs. 29–34. Pollen grains of *Centaurea* taxa in SEM. Figs. 29, 30. *C. pestalozzae*, equatorial view (Fig. 29) and scabrate exine surface (Fig. 30). Figs. 31, 32. *C. antiochia*, equatorial view (Fig. 31) and scabrate exine surface (Fig. 32). Figs. 33, 34. *C. carduiformis* subsp. *carduiformis*, equatorial view (Fig. 33) and scabrate exine surface (Fig. 34).

progressive characteristics in the Asteraceae family. We determined that, apart from *P. appendicigera*, *C. helenioides*, *C. stenolepis*, *C. paphlagonica*, *C. babylonica*, *C. ptosimopappa*, in the taxa we studied the spines are shorter than 1  $\mu$ m. The costae in *C. amanicola* and *C. pichleri* subsp. *extrarosularis* are quite thick (Tab. 2).

Skvarla et al. (1977) divided Asteraceae pollen by exine structure into four different groups: Helianthoid, Senecioid, Arctotoid and Anthemoid. We determined that apart from *C. pseudoscabiosa* subsp. *pseudoscabiosa*, *P. pecho*, *P. appendicigera*, *C. pichleri* subsp. *pichleri* and *C. pichleri* subsp. *extrarosularis* all the taxa have single-layered columellae, a thin cavea and a thinner internal columellae, therefore resembling the Helianthoid type. *C. pseudoscabiosa* subsp. *pseudoscabiosa*, *P. pecho*, *P. appendisigera*, *C. pichleri* subsp. *pichleri* and subsp. *extrarosularis* belong to the Anthemoid type with their two-layered columellae and being acaveate (Figs. 13–22, 49). Pehlivan (1994, 1995 a, b, 1996) found that the pollen of the species she examined show consistency with the Anthemoid and Helianthoid types.

An operculum found on the aperture area is accepted as a progressive evolutionary characteristic (Pehlivan, 1994). In our study an operculum was identified in all examined taxa (Figs. 6, 10, 18).



Figs. 35–40. Pollen grains of *Centaurea* and *Psephellus* taxa in SEM. Figs. 35, 36. *C. pseudoscabiosa* subsp. *pseudoscabiosa*, polar view (Fig. 35) and scabrate exine surface (Fig. 36). Figs. 37, 38. *P. pecho*, equatorial view (Fig. 37) and granulate-perforate colpus membrane surface with reticulate colpus margin (Fig. 38). Figs. 39, 40. *P. appendicigera*, equatorial view (Fig. 39) and microechinate exine surface (Fig. 40).

Skvarla et al. (1977) and Stix (1960) claimed that subapical holes in the spine base in Asteraceae are a good taxonomic character for discriminating taxa. Subapical holes in the spine base were observed in all investigated taxa (Figs. 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44).

In this study, pollen characters (pollen shape, exine structure, the presence of single- or double-layer columellae, costae thickness and sculpturing) proved to be useful classification characters. Further morphological, karyological, palynological and moleculer studies will help solve problems related to the taxonomy of these genera.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Prof. Dr. Mecit Vural and Bilal Sahin, Ph.D., for verifying plant materials, and Evren Cabi and Umut Toprak for helping to edit the manuscript.



Figs. 41–44. Pollen grains of *Centaurea* taxa in SEM. Figs. 41, 42. *C. pichleri* subsp. *extrarosularis*, polar view (Fig. 41) and scabrate exine surface (Fig. 42). Figs. 43, 44. *C. pichleri* subsp. *pichleri*, equatorial view (Fig. 43) and scabrate exine surface (Fig. 44).

## REFERENCES

- AGABABIAN VM. 1997. Centaureae subg. Centaurea (Compositae): delimitation and distribution of sections and subsections. Lagascalia 19: 889–902.
- AKSOY N, DUMAN H, and EFE A. 2008. Centaurea yaltirikii sp. nov. (Asteraceae, sect. Pseudoseridia) from Turkey. Nordic Journal of Botany 26: 53–56.
- ARMAGAN M, and ÜNAL M. 2009. A new record for Turkey: Centaurea aziziana Rech.f. (Asteraceae). Turkish Journal of Botany 33: 1–4.
- AYTAÇ Z, and DUMAN H. 2005. A new species of *Centaurea* L. (Compositae) from Turkey. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* 37(3): 563–566.
- AVETISJAN EM. 1964. Palynosystematique de la tribu des Centaureinae des Astereceae. Trudy Botanicheskogo Institute Akademii Nauk Armjansk 14: 31–47.
- AYTUG B. 1967. Palinolojinin tavsif ve siniflandirmaya hizmeti. Orman Fakültesi Dergisi Seri B, cilt IX, Sayi1: Sayfa 118 –125.
- BANCHEVA S, and GREILHUBER J. 2006. Genome size in Bulgarian Centaurea s.l. (Asteraceae). Plant Systematis and Evolution 257: 95–117.
- BREMER K.1994. Asteraceae Cladistics and Classification. Timber Press., Portland, OR.
- ÇELIK S, UYSAL I, MENEMEN Y, and KARABACAK E. 2005. Morphology, anatomy, ecology, pollen and achene

structure of *Centaurea* consanginea DC. (Sect. Acrolophus ). *International Journal of Botany* 1 (1): 85–89.

- DASKIN R, and YILMAZ Ö. 2009. Centaurea kaynakiae (Asteraceae), a new species from Turkey. Annals of Botanici Fennici 46: 474–478.
- DAVIS PH, MILL RR, and TAN K. 1988. Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, vol. 10, 166–169. Edinburgh University Press. Edinburgh.
- DINÇ M, DURAN A, and BILGILI B. 2009. A new subspecies of *Centaurea cassia* (Asteraceae) from Turkey. *Biologia* 64 (5): 898–901.
- DURAN A, and DUMAN H. 2002. Two new species of *Centaurea* (Asteraceae), from Turkey. *Annals of Botanici Fennici* 39: 43–48.
- ERDTMAN G. 1960. Acetolysis method, a revised description. Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift 54: 561–564.
- EREN Ö. 2007. The genus *Rhaponticoides* Vaill.(Asteraceae) in Turkey: a new species and first key. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 267: 13–23.
- FAEGRI K, and IVERSEN J. 1975. *Textbook of Pollen Analysis*. 3rd. Ed. Munksguard, Copenhagen.
- GARCIA-JACAS N, SUSANNA A, MOZAFFARIAN V, and ILARSLAN R. 2000. The natural delimitation of *Centaurea* (Asteraceae: Cardueae): ITS sequence analysis of the *Centaurea jacea* group. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 223: 185–199.



**Figs. 45–49.** Exine structure in SEM. Single-layered columellae (arrows) in *C. cadmea* (Fig. 45), *C. helenoides* (Fig. 46), *C. babylonica* (Fig. 47), *C. ptosimopappa* (Fig. 48) and two-layered columella (arrow) in *P. pecho* (Fig. 49).

- GARCIA-JACAS N, SUSANNA A, GARNATJE T, and VILATERSANA R. 2001. Generic delimitation and phylogeny of the subtribe Centaureinae (Asteraceae): A combined nuclear and chloroplast DNA analysis. *Annals of Botany* 87: 503–515.
- GARCIA-JACAS N, UYSAL T, ROMASHCHENKO VN, SUÁREZ-SANTIA-GO, ERTUGRUL K, and SUSANNA A. 2006. Centaurea revisited: A moleculer survey of the Jacea Group. Annals of Botany 98: 741–753.
- GÜNER A, ÖZHATAY N, EKIM T, and BASER KHC. 2000. Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, vol. 11, 163–164. Edinburgh University press, Edinburgh.
- GREUTER W. 2003a. The Euro+Med treatment of *Cardueae* (Compositae) generic concepts and required new names. *Willdenowia* 33: 49–61.
- GREUTER W. 2003b. The Euro+Med treatment Senecioneae and the minor Compositea tribes – generic concepts and required new names, with an addentum to Cardueae. *Willdenowia* 33: 245–250.

- HAMZAOGLU E, and BUDAK B. 2009. *Centaurea aksoyi* sp. nov. (Asteraceae; Cardueae) from Turkey and contribution to the sectional taxonomy. *Nordic Journal of Botany* 27: 16–20.
- HELLWIG FH. 2004. Centaureinae (Asteraceae) in the Mediterranean-history of ecogeographical radiation. Plant Systematics and Evolution 246: 137–162.
- INCEOGLU Ö, and KARAMUSTAFAOGLU F. 1976. Ankara civari step bitkilerinin polen morfolojisi (Compositae, Cruciferae, Gramineae, Plantaginaceae, Scrophulariaceae). The scientific and Technological Council of Turkey/The Basic Sciences Research Group 175, Ankara.
- KAYA Z. 1985. Exterior and interior morphological studies on the Turkish endemic C. derderijfolia Wagenitz and C. saligna (C.Koch) Wagenitz. 1. Journal of Faculty of Pharmacy University Marmara 2(2): 145–156.
- KAYA Z. 1986. Endemik iki Centaurea L. türü üzerinde palinolojik arastirmalar. Istanbul Üniversitesi Orman Fakültesi Dergisi Seri A. 36 (2): 155–163.
- KAYA Z. and VURAL M. 2007. A new species of *Centaurea* Sect. Acrocentron (Asteraceae) from Turkey. Novon 17: 2.
- KAYA Z. and BANCHEVA S. 2009. A New species of Cyanus (Centaurea p.p.) sect. Napuliferi (Asteraceae) from Turkey. Novon 19: 175–177.
- PEHLIVAN S. 1994. Scanning electron microscope studies of the pollen grains of some Turkish endemic *Centaurea*. *Journal of Faculty of Pharmacy of Gazi University* 11 (2): 205–211.
- PEHLIVAN S. 1995a. Pollen morphology of some Turkish endemic Centaurea. Grana 34: 29–38.
- PEHLIVAN S. 1995b. Türkiye endemik *Centaurea* L. polenlerinin morfolojik yapilarinin incelenmesi. Ulusal Palinoloji Kongresi, 21–23 Aralik, Istanbul.
- PEHLIVAN S. 1996. Light microscopic studies in the pollen morphology of some Turkish endemic *Centaurea* species. *Turkish Journal of Botany* 20: 311–320.
- PINAR NM, and INCEOGLU Ö. 1996. A Comparative study on the polen morphology of *Centaurea triumfettii* All. Groups A, B, C with light and electron microscopy. *Turkish Journal of Botany* 20: 395–398.
- SKVARLA JJ, and TURNER BL. 1966. Systematic implications from electron microscopic studies of Compositae pollen – a review. Annual Missouri Botanical Garden 53 (2): 220–256.
- SKVARLA JJ. TURNER BL., PATEL VC, and TOBB AS. 1977. Pollen morphology in the Compositae and in morphologically related families. In: Heywood VH, Harborne JB, Turner BL [eds.], The Biology and Chemistry of the Compositae,141–248. Academic Press, London.
- STIX E. 1960. Pollenmorphologische untersuchungen an Compositen. *Grana Palynologica* 2: 41–104.
- TAN K, BANCHEVA S, VURAL M, and STRID A. 2009. *Centaurea wagenitziana* Bancheva & Kit Tan (Asteraceae: Centaureinae), a new species from the eastern Balkans. *Phytologia. Balcanica* 15(1): 51–58.

- TÜRKOGLU I, AKAN H, and CIVELEK S. 2003. A new species of Centaurea (Asteraceae: Sect. Psephelloidea) from Turkey. Botanical Journal of Linnean Society 143: 207–212.
- UYSAL T, DEMIRELMA H, ERTUGRUL K, GARCIA-JACAS N, and SUSANNA A. 2007. Centaurea glabro-auriculata (Asteraceae), a new species from Turkey. Annals of Botanici Fennici 44: 219–222.
- UYSAL T, ERTUGRUL K, and TUGAY O. 2008. *Centaurea ochrocephala* Wagenitz (Asteraceae): A new record for Flora of Turkey. *Ot* 14(1): 11–16.
- UYSAL T, and KÖSE B. 2009. A New Centaurea L. (Asteraceae) species from Turkey. Turkish Journal of Botany 33: 41–46.
- UZUNHISARCIKLI ME, TEKSEN M, DOGAN E, and DUMAN H. 2005. Centaurea marashica (Asteraceae), A New Species from Turkey. Annals of Botanici Fennici 42: 309–312.
- UZUNHISARCIKLI ME, DOGAN E, and DUMAN H. 2007. A new species of *Centaurea* L. (*Cardueae*: Asteraceae) from Turkey. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 153: 61–66.
- VILLODRE JM, and GARCIA-JACAS N. 2000. Pollen studies in subtribe *Centaureinae* (Asteraceae): the Jacea group analysed with electron microscopy. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 133 (4): 473–484.
- VURAL M, DUMAN H, AYTAÇ Z, and ADIGÜZEL N. 2006. Saponaria karapinarensis, Senecio salsuginea and Centaurea tuzgoluensis, three new species from central Anatolia, Turkey. Belgium Journal of Botany 139(2): 252–260.
- WALKER JW. 1974. Evolution of exine structure in the pollen of primitive Angiosperms. American Journal of Botany 61: 891–902.
- WAGENITZ G. 1955. Pollen morphologie und Systematik in der Gattung Centaurea weldeniana (Asteraceae). s.1. Flora 142: 213–279.
- WAGENITZ G. 1975. Centaurea L. In : Davis PH [ed.], Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, vol. 5 : 465–585. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh.
- WAGENITZ G. 1976. Systematics and phylogeny of the Compositae (Asteraceae). Plant Systematics and Evolution 125: 29–46.
- WAGENITZ G. 1986. Centaurea in South-West Asia: Patterns of distribution and diversity. Proceeding Royal Society Edinburgh 89: 11–21.
- WAGENITZ G, and HELLWIG F. 2000. The genus *Psephellus* Cass. (Compositae, Cardueae) revisited with a broadened concept. *Willdenowia* 30: 29–44.
- WAGENITZ G, HELLWIG F, GERALD P, and LUDWIG M. 2006. Two new species of *Centaurea* (Compositae, Cardueae) from Turkey. *Willdenowia* 36: 423–434.
- WODEHOUSE RP. 1935. Pollen Grains, McGraw Hill Press, New York.